

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,125	05/24/2001	Charles Larsen	MASTE.086A	2710
20995	7590 08/27/2002			
KNOBBE M.	ARTENS OLSON &	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			MACARTHUR, VICTOR L	
IRVINE, CA 91614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>}</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/865,125	LARSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Victor MacArthur	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 I</u>	May 2001					
,	is action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>		resecution as to the marite is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-42 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-30 and 38-42, drawn to a picket fence, classified in class 256, subclass

22.

II. Claims 31-37, drawn to a method of making a fence, classified in class 256,

subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product disclosed in claims 1-30 and 38-42 could be made by another process than the method disclosed in claims 31-37. For instance, a method including the forming of a closed channel in the bottom wall.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/865,125 Page 3

- Art Unit: 3679

Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I

Species A – fence configuration as shown in fig.1a, 1b, 1c

Species B – fence configuration as shown in fig.1d

Species C – fence configuration as shown in fig.1e

Species D – fence configuration as shown in fig.1f

Group II

Species A – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2a

Species B – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2b

Group III

Species A – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2c

Species B – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2d

Species C – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2e

Species D – embodiment of the rail as shown in fig.2f

Group IV

Species A – embodiment of the retaining rod as shown in fig.3a

Species B – embodiment of the retaining rod as shown in fig.3b

Species C – embodiment of the retaining rod as shown in fig.3c

Species D – embodiment of the retaining rod as shown in fig.3d

Species E – embodiment of the retaining rod as shown in fig.3e

Application/Control Number: 09/865,125

· Art Unit: 3679

Group V

Species A – embodiment of the picket as shown in figs.5a, 5b

Species B – embodiment of the picket as shown in figs. 5c, 5d

Species C – embodiment of the picket as shown in figs. 5e, 5f

Species D – embodiment of the picket as shown in figs. 5g, 5h

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each group of species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The applicant is advised that chosen species should be compatible with one another in view of the disclosure to avoid having claims withdrawn from consideration by the examiner. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

. Art Unit: 3679

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 5

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the species to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor MacArthur whose telephone number is (703) 305-5701. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

August 26, 2002

Lynne H. Browne
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3600